Response Under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 1700

Application No. 10/540,365 Paper Dated: March 28, 2008

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of December 3, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 2950-051771

REMARKS

Claims 4-7 are currently pending in the application with claims 4 and 6 being in independent form. Claims 4 and 6 have been amended to reduce the upper limit of Cr content in the stainless steel sheet from 20 mass % to 12.6 mass %. Accordingly, claims 4 and 6 state that the range of Cr content in the stainless steel sheet comprises 10-12.6 mass % of Cr. Support for this amendment is provided in Table 4 of the originally filed specification. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner's reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of the amendments made herein with the following remarks.

RESPONSE TO REJECTIONS

The present invention is directed to a work-hardened stainless steel sheet characterized by its chemical composition and metallurgical structure, which can be formed to a particular configuration without cracking, even under severe fabricating conditions. The formability and strength of the stainless steel sheet is achieved by the combination of desulfuring and deoxidizing with Al for modification of inclusions to fine Al_2O_3 or Al_2O_3 ·MgO particles sized 10 μ m or less with an index of cleanliness of 0.06% or less and by cold-rolling for formation of the work-hardened ferritic structure without requiring heat-treatment.

Typically bending workability of a stainless steel sheet obtained by work-hardening is generally worsened, however, the present invention teaches a work-hardened stainless steel sheet comprising a chemical composition consisting of specifically claimed components and a specific work-hardened ferritic structure. The combination of these features improves the strength and bending workability of the work-hardened stainless steel.

Claims 4-7 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over the teachings of Japanese Patent 402270942 (hereinafter referred to as "JP '942"). The Office Action asserts that the English abstract of JP '942 teaches a ferritic stainless steel alloy having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims of the present invention.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of the claims for the following reasons. Independent claims 4 and 6 have been amended to recite that the stainless steel

Response Under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 1700

Application No. 10/540,365 Paper Dated: March 28, 2008

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of December 3, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 2950-051771

composition contains 10-12.6 mass % of Cr to distinguish the present invention over the teachings of JP '942, which shows a Cr content of 14-26%. In general, the lower the Cr content in the steel, the softer the steel at the annealing state. Accordingly, the strength of the steel composition of the present invention is improved by work-hardening, i.e., cold-rolling. In order to obtain such improved strength, a steel composition including this lower Cr content requires higher rolling reduction, compared to a steel composition having a higher Cr content. Although cold-rolling at high rolling reduction generally decreases bendability, the steel of the present invention has been found to have excellent bendability *despite* being cold-rolled at high rolling reduction. JP '942 fails to teach this concept and specifically teaches a Cr content which is greater than that of the presently amended claims.

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 4-7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) be withdrawn as JP' 942 fails to render these claims obvious.

CONCLUSION

In view of the arguments set forth above and the amendments to the claims, it is respectfully requested that this amendment be entered and all claims in the application, namely claims 4-7, be allowed and the application be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

Michele K. Yoder

Registration No. 41,562

Attorney for Applicants

436 Seventh Avenue

700 Koppers Building

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com